



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,091	07/31/2003	Philip Kwan	019959-001610US	3218
20350	7590	08/13/2008	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			DADA, BEEMNET W	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER				
EIGHTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2135	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/631,091	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KWAN, PHILIP
	<b>Examiner</b> BEEMNET W. DADA	<b>Art Unit</b> 2135

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BEEMNET W. DADA. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Andrew J. Lee. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 July 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Raves et al. (US 7,234,163), Iyer et al.(US 2005/0254474) and Doyle (US 7,134,012).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant pointed out difference between the present invention and the prior art on record and further indicated that, the art on record fails to teach 'analyzing based on a time associated with at least one association' as recited in claim 1 and 'analyzing at least two associations in a database... as recited in claim 20. Examiner noted applicant's arguments and pointed out that he will further review the art on record and respond in the next office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.